Michael J. Lampe #82199 Michael P. Smith #206927 Law Offices of Michael J. Lampe 108 West Center Avenue Visalia, California 93291 Telephone (559) 738-5975 Facsimile (559) 738-5644 4 5 Attorneys for Petitioner 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TULARE 9 10 11 WESLEY J. HENSLEY, Case No. 273730 12 Petitioner, **DECLARATION OF JOHN McGINNESS** 13 VS. 14 CITY OF TULARE, 15 Respondent. 16 17 I, John McGinness, declare: 18 1. I hold a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Criminal Justice with a minor in Public 19 Administration from California State University, Long Beach. 20 2. I also hold a Master of Science Degree in Emergency Services Administration from 21 California State University, Long Beach. 22 3. I am a graduate of the West Point Leadership Institute. 23 4. I was employed by the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department for 31 years. 24 During my 31 years of service I was a training officer, team leader, homicide detective, CSI 25 investigator, and department spokesman. 26 5. I was appointed undersheriff of Sacramento County in March of 2001. 27 6. I was elected as the Sacramento County Sheriff in 2006. 28 DECLARATION OF JOHN McGINNESS

- 7. On January 19, 2018, I entered into a contract with the City of Tulare to conduct an investigation into certain allegations made against former Tulare Police Chief Wes Hensley, who had been place on administrative leave on September 27, 2017.
- 8. I have reviewed pages 97 through 111, and page 128 of the deposition of former Tulare City Manager Joe Carlini, attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 9. In his testimony, Mr. Carlini testified that *prior* to his termination of Chief Hensley on March 20, 2018, he had a telephone conversation with me which caused him to lose confidence in Chief Hensley. This telephone conversation was summarized as follows:
  - "Q. Your loss of confidence, as I understand your testimony today -- and I want you to be real careful about this. Your loss of confidence on March 20 is completely based upon a conversation you had with John McGinness on March 20 in which he told you four things. Those four things being: The chief said the meeting in the library didn't happen, that the chief said that you initiated the investigation into the credit card charge, there was a discharge of a firearm that you were never told about, and there was a sexual harassment claim that you thought the discipline was rather light on. Right?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. Other than that, there was nothing that caused you to issue the notice of termination, correct?
  - A. Correct." [Carlini deposition, 106:11-25]
- 10. Although I had a telephone conversation with Mr. Carlini *after* he terminated Chief Hensley on March 20, none of the issues set forth in the above-referenced testimony were discussed.
- 11. During our March 20 telephone conversation, which occurred after 4:24 p.m., Mr. Carlini told me that he had already terminated Chief Hensley.
- 12. I was surprised that Mr. Carlini had taken this action as I had previously advised the City Attorney, Heather Phillips, that based upon my investigation firing Chief Hensley for cause would be indefensible.
- 13. During our telephone conversation of March 20, Mr. Carlini made it clear to me that he had terminated Chief Hensley because he perceived the termination as essential to his survival as the Tulare City Manager.

- 14. The foregoing statements are within my personal knowledge and, if sworn as a witness, I can testify competently thereto.
- 15. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: December 6, 2018

DECLARATION OF JOHN McGINNESS

-3-

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TULARE
3	,
4	WESLEY J. HENSLEY, ) ) NO. 273730
5	Petitioner,
6	v. )
7	CITY OF TULARE, )
8	Respondent. )
9	Visalia, California
10	October 10, 2018
11	
12	VIDEO DEPOSITION
13	OF
14	JOSEPH CARLINI
15	
16	
17	
18	
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	TAMARA L. MENDOZA SUMPTER, EXHIBIT 1

Well, I didn't want to make a determination 1 based on what I thought. I wanted somebody else to look 2 3 at the facts with the -- the meeting that we had in the library, you know, the fact that I was not made aware 4 that there was any investigation done at Selma, and then 5 6 there were other issues that were -- that were identified during the investigation period that had to do with the 7 8 police department. Q. Well --9 And there was also a non-confidence from -- from 10 the rubber-to-the-road guys, from the -- from the police 11 12 department themselves. I mean, it wasn't only my, you know, no confidence. It was the police department's no 1.3 confidence. 14 15 O. Yeah. We'll talk about that in a minute, but 16

let's talk about the first part of this.

You said you were awaiting the investigation. Did you get -- did you get the investigation results?

- Α. I had the conversation with the -- the inves -the investigator on the -- on that day.
  - O. On March 20?
  - Yeah, that's when -- that's when I --Α.
  - That was John McGinness, correct? Q.
  - Α. Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What did John McGinness tell you on March 20 0.

regarding the investigation? Everything you recall.

2.2

2.5

A. Well, he told me -- specifically to Wes, he told me that as part of his investigation he was -- he was made aware by Wes that the meeting in the -- the meeting in the library never happened. This is what he told me. The meeting in the library never happened; so, therefore, everything I said about the library never happened. The fact that I said, "We have nothing. We have nothing," that I had a conversation with a person that was on speaker that said they have nothing, and then the fact that he said -- and in addition to that, Wes had made him aware that I initiated the investigation at the Cattlemen Ranch.

At that point in time, you know, that's not -that's not true. It's not true. I never invented -- I
never initiated the investigation, and if I did, then
why -- why wasn't something told to me on Friday? Why
wasn't something told to me on Sunday besides the mayor?
Why? Why?

- Q. But you knew -- but you knew that Wes had contended that you ordered the investigation long before you talked to John McGinness on March 20. That had been in the newspapers the prior October, five months earlier. Those were headlines, Joe.
  - A. I'm telling you, I never initiated the

## investigation.

- Q. That's not the issue. You said part of the reason that you lost confidence in him is because the investigator told you on March 20 that Wes said that you started the investigation --
  - A. Right.
  - Q. -- at Cattlemens.
- A. Um-hmm. And I'm not going to trust what's in the newspapers.
- Q. So you were aware that Wes had made that allegation through the newspapers, but you weren't going to trust that. It was after McGinness confirmed it that you decided that you had lost confidence; is that correct?
- A. I wanted to make sure that what -- because I know that the statements in the newspapers don't necessarily mean they're -- they're reality. They could be skewered [sic]. So I wanted the investigator to tell me what he uncovered. Once he told me what he uncovered, now it's -- it's -- it's -- it's -- in my mind, it's legal. He -- he -- he investigated this thing. He was an outside party. He made me aware of what the issues were, and at that point --
- Q. Did you ask him what his findings were as to whether or not the chief should be reinstated?

No, because I don't think it's his position to 1 Α. make that determination. 2 3 But you never asked, correct? Α. Nuh. 4 Did you ask him if he thought that the chief had 5 6 properly been put on administrative leave? No, I don't -- I don't think I've ever ask -- I 8 ever asked that question. 9 Did you ask him to complete his report? 0. Α. Yeah. 10 Did he? Ο. 11 12 Α. I was gone before that. But you asked him to complete the report? 13 Q. Yeah. I mean, I want -- I wanted it, you know, 14 15 documented as opposed to verbally, but I would imagine the guy told me the truth. I mean, why would he -- why 16 would he tell me something that wasn't accurate? I would 17 18 hope... What else do you recall him saying that caused 19 you to lose confidence in the chief? 20 21 That was pretty much it, I mean, in my mind. Α. So just so I can be sure, there were two things 22 0. that Mr. McGinness said that caused you to lose 23 24 confidence in the chief: One is that the meeting in the library never happened, and the other one is that the 2.5

chief told Investigator McGinness that you had basically ordered the investigation into the Cattlemens incident, correct?

A. Correct.

2.5

- Q. Can't think of anything else?
- A. There were other things as part of that investigation because it -- it started to snowball, the investigation itself. You know, I don't even know if you know what the investigation -- you know, it started to skewer itself.
- Q. See, I -- here's what I want to do: I want to try to stay nice and calm and kind of focused here.

So you said on March 20 that you had lost confidence in the chief, and at this point in time the City spent a boatload of money on a six-month investigation into the chief, correct?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. He's been on administrative leave for six months.

I find it extremely odd that right on the cusp of getting the results, all of a sudden you pull the plug and you fire the guy. I find that to be really odd, and everybody that I've talked to finds it to be odd.

So my question is, you said you lost confidence on the 20th. I'm trying to find out what happened

between September 27th when you put him on admin. leave and March 20 when you fired him that caused you to lose confidence. You've said you had a conversation with McGinness, and McGinness told you two things, and those caused you to lose confidence.

1.3

2.5

Did McGinness say anything else that caused you to lose confidence in the chief, other than what you've identified?

- A. Yes. Actually, there was. There was -- there was the fact that there was the -- the fact of the -- that the meeting in the -- in the library never happened, that I initiated the investigation, and there were two other issues that he was looking into that had to deal with how -- how you -- how you discipline, how you deal with issues that are -- are -- that have -- that have happened in your organization, more specifically, a discharge of a firearm in the police department and how the chief handled -- it's not discrimination -- it's almost like sexual misconduct with one of his -- of his employees, with one of the police sergeants or something.
- Q. What did he say about the discharge of the firearm?
- A. He said he could find nothing in -- in -- anywhere that identified the fact that there was

disciplinary action when disciplinary action should have 1 happened based on their rules and regulations of 2 3 discharging a weapon in a police -- in a police building. You know, you're not supposed to be cleaning your 4 weapon -- I mean, there's -- I don't know what it's 5 6 called, POV or something. There's something that he identified. He's more familiar with this, and you can 7 8 have that conversation with him, but he identified that 9 there was specific rules that have to happen with an investigation, with a disciplinary action and everything 10 when a -- when a firearm is discharged in a building. 11 12 Q. When -- when did this event take place? I was never made aware of it --1.3 Α. So --14 0. 15

- Α. -- while I was an interim.
- Q. So --

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

I'm sorry. I didn't hear your THE REPORTER: answer.

- While I was an interim, I was never -- it happened while I was an interim, and I was never made aware of the fact that there was a discharge in the police department. Tell me how that shouldn't happen.
- Q. All right. So -- so you're -- you've lost confidence in the chief now because there was a discharge of a firearm in the police department while you were the

interim city manager and you were never told about it,
correct?

A. Right.

2.2

- Q. Okay. That's the third reason.
- A. Right.
- Q. You identified a fourth. The fourth reason, you vaguely were talking about sergeant, sexual harassment, or something. Give me some detail.
- A. Well, there was -- there was a sexual harassment claim from a sergeant in his -- in -- in PD that specifically identified one of the -- the police chief's management staff, and, you know...
  - Q. And?
- A. I -- I -- there was -- there was a form of -- of discipline -- I guess it was a verbal reprimand -- of the -- of the person that was part of the investigation,

  I guess, or part of the discussion, and then after that,

  because the discipline was rather light when it comes to,

  you know, somebody claiming sexual harassment, because it

  was -- it was light and it didn't require -- it didn't do

  any written reprimand or verbal counseling -- I mean, it

  was a verbal counseling -- didn't do a written reprimand,

  didn't do days off, the individual again brought this

  individual -- brought the female police officer into a

  room alone, and again, now she files another sexual

```
harassment claim that was looked at.
1
            Q. So the discipline --
 2
 3
            A. So that's -- so those are the four.
               The -- the -- the words "rather light," were
 4
       those used by Mr. McGinness?
 5
 6
            A. Yes.
            Q. Okay. So Mr. McGinness told you there was a
 7
8
       sexual harassment complaint involving, I take it, a
9
       female sergeant, correct?
            A. Yes.
10
            Q. And that the discipline in that instance was
11
       rather light?
12
               (Nods head.)
13
            Α.
            Q. So that was one of the four things --
14
15
            A. Right.
               -- that caused you to lose confidence in the
16
            Q.
       chief.
17
18
            Α.
               There you go.
19
            Q. And this was based on a conversation you had
       with Mr. McGinness on what date?
20
21
            Α.
               The same day.
            Q. And was this by telephone?
22
23
            A. Yes.
24
                Did someone ask you to call Mr. McGinness on
       that date?
2.5
```

- Ι/

- 2.2

- 2.5

- A. No.
- Q. Why did you call Mr. McGinness on March 20?
- A. Because I wanted to find out where we were.
- I've -- I've been getting beat up in the council meetings and by the public for months in regards to this issue and this investigation. And, realistically, I wasn't part of the investigation. I just wanted the final report.
- Q. And I think you identified another issue that arose that caused you to lose confidence in the chief, again, between September 27 when he was placed on administrative leave and March 20 when you terminated his employment, and that was there was a loss of confidence vote; is that correct?
  - A. From -- from the PD.
- Q. Yeah. What was the vote, and what was the specific question that was asked?
- A. I think it was 56 out of 80. I think it was -it was pretty significant in terms of the amount of
  people that actually voted no confidence. I wasn't made
  aware of that information. I mean, I just remember it
  coming to the council because they identified it there.
  The union president or somebody came to the podium and
  talked to the council.
  - Q. When did that happen?
  - A. I don't remember.

- Q. Happened a long time before March 20, didn't it?
- A. It was -- I don't think it was that far away from that, but, again, the investigation was going on. I didn't want to make any decisions and make any -- you know, anything -- I didn't want to make anything concrete until I got information from --
- Q. What was the specific question that was posed to the police officers on that loss of -- or that no confidence vote, as you described it? Wasn't that something that was conducted by the union?
  - A. Yes, it was conducted by the union.
- Q. Did you ever look at the question that was asked?
  - A. No. You'd need to ask the union that.
- Q. So, I mean, they could just get up and pretty much say anything and you'd believe them?
- A. No, I didn't base my -- I didn't base -- I didn't base what I did on them. I based it on me.
  - Q. Well, let's --
  - A. I told you --
  - Q. Okay.

- A. -- there were four issues.
- Q. Okay. So loss of confidence wasn't one of the factors that went into your decision to terminate the chief. The four issues that --

No, you're wrong. Loss of confidence by me. 1 Α. Okay. Hold on. Hold on. 2 Q. 3 The loss of confidence as expressed by the Tulare Police Officers' Union was not one of the factors. 4 No, I didn't take that -- you know, I --5 Q. Okay. 6 I mean, you're always going to get that from --7 8 from employees, I mean --9 Okay. So we can scratch that. 0. -- unfortunately. 10 Your loss of confidence, as I understand your 11 12 testimony today -- and I want you to be real careful about this. Your loss of confidence on March 20 is 1.3 completely based upon a conversation you had with John 14 15 McGinness on March 20 in which he told you four things. Those four things being: The chief said the meeting in 16 the library didn't happen, that the chief said that you 17 18 initiated the investigation into the credit card charge, 19 there was a discharge of a firearm that you were never told about, and there was a sexual harassment claim that 20 21 you thought the discipline was rather light on. 2.2 Α. Right. Other than that, there was nothing that caused 23 24 you to issue the notice of termination, correct? 2.5 Α. Correct.

- Q. Okay. Now, with that universe in mind, something else happened that day, correct?
  - A. I have no clue.
  - Q. Weren't you fired that day?
  - A. Oh, yeah, yeah, I was fired.
  - Q. You didn't have a clue about that?
    - A. I tried to eliminate that out of my mind.
  - Q. Oh, okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. That's unfortunate.
- Q. So -- so -- so what time did you fire the chief; do you remember?
  - A. Probably -- probably midday.
  - Q. Probably midday? Do you recall?
- A. Oh, no. No. The -- the -- the -- the document was generated. I signed it. We sent it electronic. We sent it in an email.
- Q. Did anyone pressure you into terminating the chief before you were terminated later that evening at the council?
  - A. No.
- Q. Prior to firing the chief on March 20, did you discuss your concerns about his ability to lead the department with Carlton Jones?
- A. No. I tried to keep them out of that. I mean, you know, that's -- that's really not within their

responsibility. 1 "No" is fine. The answer is no. That's it. We 2 don't need -- okay. 3 Did you discuss your concerns about his ability 4 to lead the department with Fred Ynclan? 5 Α. No. 6 Did you discuss it with Matt Machado? 7 8 Α. No. 9 Did you discuss it with now acting chief Barry 0. Jones? 10 No. The only time I talked to Barry Jones was 11 to -- when I put --12 Ο. The answer was "No." 13 No, no, no. 14 Α. 15 0. That's sufficient. Did you ever discuss your loss of confidence in 16 the chief's ability to lead the department with any other 17 sworn peace officer in the department? 18 Α. No. 19 Did you ever discuss your loss of confidence in 20 21 the chief with Roger Wilson? I don't even know who Roger Wilson is. 22 He's the union president. Q. 23 24 A. No idea.

I mean, the union attorney. Excuse me.

25

Did you ever discuss your loss of confidence with Janice Avila?

- A. I may have identified it to her because she's HR. I may -- I probably identified it to her thinking, you know, the fact that, you know, what is -- what's being said is not correct. So Janice probably heard me say that because she's HR.
- Q. All right. And do you recall anything that you specifically discussed with Janice?
- A. Just those -- that -- that issue. You know, there was a firearm that was discharged in that -- in the police department. I was never made aware of it, and there was no discipline from it because she had to look at -- she had to look at the personnel issue.
  - Q. And you told her that?
  - A. Yeah.

1.3

2.5

- Q. Okay. So you talked -- okay. So you discussed with Janice Avila -- this would have been on March 20, correct, because you made the decision on March 20? You talked to John McGinness on March 20.
- A. No, no. We talked about the firearm before that with Janice Avila, and she never found anything in the personnel records that the -- that there was any investigation or discipline based on that firearm.
  - Q. I thought your testimony was the first you heard

about that was when John McGinness talked to you on the 20th.

- A. No, I heard about it before, but, you know, John McGinness was investigating it. How could I hear about it on the 20th and John McGinness investigating it before the 20th?
  - O. Because --

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. That doesn't make sense.
- Q. No, actually, it does make sense because John McGinness was, as I understand it, reporting to you on March 20 the results of his investigation.
- A. But he had to get the information on the discharge of the weapon before March 20th.
  - Q. Well, he didn't have to get it from you.
  - A. No.
    - Q. Did he get it from you?
- A. He got it from Heather -- he got it from our attorney.
  - Q. Oh, he got it from Heather?
  - A. Yeah.
    - Q. How do you know that?
  - A. Because she made me aware that as she was speaking with the union, as she was working on some of these issues with grievances, some of these things had come up and that she made -- she has a very lengthy list

of what John McGinness was supposed to be investigating and that she --

- Q. Did you ever see that list?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. Did you help prepare that list?
- A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So Heather Phillips --
- A. I mean, I agreed with it though.
- Q. Heather Phillips hires the investigator, and then Heather Phillips feeds information to the investigator, correct? But you're not doing that? This is all being done by the city attorney, as you understand it?
- A. Yeah. I mean, she was the one that was talking with the union. She was the one that was talking -- she was the one that was getting the grievances.
  - Q. Why was she talking to the union?
  - A. Because she's the city attorney and the union --
- Q. But she's talking to the union specifically about the chief?
- A. I think that she -- that's something that you are going to have to ask her. I'm mean, I'm not sure.

  All I know is there were several things that came out of those meetings, whether it be the sexual harassment issue, I mean --

fact that one of the police ch -- police staff,
management staff touched another male employee
physically. You know, we need to find out what that is.
Somebody need -- you know, we need to identify what's
going on there, you know, because there was -- there was
one issue with the sexual harassment on Rosa, and then
there was the second issue, which was that one of the -one of the lieutenants were getting physical with their
people.

- Q. But that wasn't one of the things that you discussed on March the 20th; that was something you discussed in your interview with McGinness sometime earlier?
  - A. Interview, yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever tell Mr. McGinness at any time that you had been pressured to fire the chief?
  - A. No.

1.3

2.2

2.5

- Q. Never told him that?
- A. (Shakes head.)

Now, I need to be clear. I never -- he -- I never told him I was being pressured to fire. I was being pressured to complete the investigation.

- Q. Who was pressuring you?
- A. Everybody. Are you kidding me? Everybody.
- Q. But you weren't involved in the investigation.